Case 7

A 66 year-old Thai woman from Bangkok **Chief complaint:** Widespread pruritic red plaques on the trunk for 1 month



Fig. 7.1

Present illness:

One month ago, the patient developed widespread pruritic erythematous plaques on her trunk and back. One week later, rashes erupted on her thighs and legs. She denied changing of any skin care products or medications, recently.

Past history: Hypertension, dyslipidemia

Physical examination:

GA: A Thai elderly female, good consciousness VS: T 37.2°C, R 18 /min, PR 70 /min, BP 114/71 mmHg HEENT: No pale conjunctivae, anicteric sclerae CVS&RS: WNL Lymph node: Not palpable Abdomen: Soft, not tender, no hepatosplenomegaly

Skin examination: (Fig. 7.1)

Multiple well-defined discrete, partially blanchable, erythematous plaques, varying in size from 1-4 centimeters, scattering on trunk, back, buttock and both legs

Histopathology: (S16-035971, right flank) (Fig. 7.2, 7.3)

- Dense superficial and deep perivascular and interstitial infiltrate of lymphocytes in the dermis
- Thicked papillary dermis, composed of coarse collagen bundles and lichenoid infiltrate of lymphocytes with subtle epidermotropism

Immunohistochemistry:

 CD3/4/8: Positive CD20: Scatter positive CD30: Negative









Investigations:

CBC: WBC 8,510/uL (P59%, L29%, Mo5%, Eo6%, Ba1%), Hb 12.1g/dL, Hct 38.6%, Platelet 246,000/uL LFT/Cr: WNL LDH 194 U/L (125-220 U/L) CT chest & abdomen: Normal, no lymphadenopathy

Diagnosis: Interstitial mycosis fungoides

Treatment: Psoralen plus UVA (PUVA)

Presenter: Saneerat Porntharukcharoen, MD **Consultant:** Natta Rajatanavin, MD

Discussion:

Mycosis fungoides (MF) is the most common cutaneous Tcell lymphoma, comprising of almost half of all cases.¹ Several clinicopathologic variants have been reported.¹⁻³ Interstitial mycosis fungoides (IMF) is a rare histological variant of MF that may mimic other inflammatory dermatoses, mainly interstitial granuloma annulare (GA), inflammatory morphea, and interstitial granulomatous dermatitis (IGD).⁴ IMF was first described by Ackerman AB (unpublished observation) and subsequently was mentioned by Shapiro and Pinto.⁴ Few small series and case reports of IMF have been published to date.⁴⁻⁹ Cases of IMF have been observed in early and advanced stages, but are predominantly found in early stages.⁵ Lesions with features of IMF correspond clinically most commonly to patches and plagues.⁴⁻⁵ Buruk et al. reported a unique variant of interstitial MF presenting with lichen sclerosus-like lesions. IMF is observed either before, concomitant with or after conventional MF and rarely represents the only histologic presentation.⁵

Histopathologically, variably long, linear aggregates of dermal lymphocytes splaying the collagen fibers with few histiocytes infiltration, involving predominantly the superficial and mid-dermis or the entire dermis. Atypia is often minimal or even absent. A band-like infiltrate, epidermotropism, Pautrier's collection were also observed in some cases.⁴⁻⁶

Immunohistochemical studies revealed a cytotoxic phenotype (50%) which defined as CD8+ (one case reported CD8-but TIA+).⁵ Although a cytotoxic phenotype is not uncommon in MF, it seems more frequent in IMF than in conventional MF.⁵

The main differential diagnoses of IMF are inflammatory morphea, granuloma annulare, and IGD (including cases associated with autoimmuneor rheumatic conditions, drug reactions, and infections by Borrelia).^{4-5,8-9} Lack of sclerosis of the collagen fibers as well as lack of clusters of plasma cells allows distinction from inflammatory morphea. Lymphocytes may be seen along the dermal–epidermal interface in both conditions, but slight vacuolar interface alteration, slight squamotization of basal keratinocytes, and effacement of the rete ridge pattern favor inflammatory morphea. Moreover, inflammatory morphea shows focal loss of elastic fibers but no significant alteration in IMF.^{4-5,9}

An interstitial expression of GA can sometimes be confused with IMF, as both share an interstitial dermal mononuclear infiltrate, sometimes accompanied by increased interstitial dermal mucins. The presence of interstitial lymphocytes predominating over histiocytes is a strong clue to diagnose IMF, allowing differentiation from the interstitial type of GA.⁵ GA also lacks of the epidermotropism and Pautrier's collections seen focally in IMF.^{4,5}

Previous study reported the presence of collagen fibers surrounded by lymphocytes (rosetting) in IMF, which is similar to what can be observed in IGD.⁵ Interestingly, a similar finding was published as "floating" collagen fibers by Ferrara et al.⁶ However, the distinction in IGA is the predominant of histiocytes instead of lymphocytes.⁵

The clinical behavior, prognosis and treatment for IMF was not well documented, however, previous studies did not demonstrate an aggressive course.⁴⁻⁵

References

- Sarah I, Jawed, BA, Patricia L, Myskowski. Primary cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (mycosis fungoides and Sezary syndrome) PartI. Diagnosis: Clinical and histopathologic features and new molecular and biologic markers. J Am Acad Dermatol 2014;70:205 e1-16.
- Shapiro PE, Pinto FJ. The histologic spectrum of mycosis fungoides/Sezary syndrome (cutaneous T cell lymphoma). Am J Surg Pathol 1994;18:645–67.
- 3. Kazakov DV, Burg G, Kempf W. Clinicopathological spectrum

of mycosis fungoides. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2004;18:397–415.

- 4. Su LD, Kim YH, LeBoit PE. Interstitial mycosis fungoides, a variant of mycosis fungoides resembling granuloma annulare and inflammatory morphea. J Cutan Pathol 2002;29:135–41.
- 5. Camilla R, Cesare M, Regina FP. Interstitial Mycosis Fungoides A Clinicopathologic Study of 21 Patients. Am J Surg Pathol 2016;1-8.
- 6. Ferrara G, Crisman G, Zalaudek I. Free floating collagen fibers in interstitial mycosis fungoides. Am J Dermatopathol 2010;32:352–56.
- 7. Tekin B, Kempf W, Seckin D. Interstitial mycosis fungoides with lichen sclerosus-like clinical and histopathological features. Am J Dermatopathol 2016;38:138–43.
- 8. Magro CM, Crowson AN, Shapiro BL. The interstitial granulomatous drug reaction: a distinctive clinical and pathological entity. J Cutan Pathol 1998;25:72–8.
- 9. Koochek A, Fink-Puches R, Cerroni L. Coexistence of patch stage mycosis fungoides and interstitial granuloma annulare in the same patient: a pitfall in the clinicopathologic diagnosis of mycosis fungoides. Am J Dermatopatho 2012;34:198–202.