# Model of COVID-19 Rt & Mortality Estimation

ภาณุวัฒน์ เลิศสิทธิชัย

ภาควิชาศัลยศาสตร์ รพ.รามาธิบดี

ମ.ମ. <mark>b</mark>ଙ୍ଚି ୍





# Why a Model?

- The previous graphs show **2 measures** of COVID-19: the ability to pass on the infection (*Rt*) and its severity (mortality "rate")
- These measures have precise biological and epidemiological meaning only within context of some mathematical model
- To obtain these measures unambiguously requires the use of some appropriate theoretical framework
- Here we present a simple model, which might capture the essence of the pandemic in Thailand, and arrive at simple statistical estimates of these 2 measures

# A Model of *Rt* Estimation

Suppose, in a population of size N, the SIR model below is approximately valid

(1) 
$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\beta_t \{I_E(t) + I_S(t)\}}{N} S(t) \equiv -\frac{\beta_t I_A(t)}{N} S(t)$$

(2) 
$$\frac{dI_E(t)}{dt} = \frac{\beta_t S(t)}{N} \{ I_E(t) + I_S(t) \} - \mu_t I_E(t) - \gamma_{Et} I_E(t)$$

(3) 
$$\frac{dI_S(t)}{dt} = \mu_t I_E(t) - \gamma_{St} I_S(t)$$



(4) 
$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = \gamma_{Et}I_E + \gamma_{St}I_S;$$
 S(t) +  $I_E(t) + I_S(t) + R(t) = N$ 

From eqs. (2) & (3) we can write, where A refers to all active infections, (5.1)  $dI_A'(t) \equiv \frac{\beta_t dtS(t)}{N}I_A(t)$ ; i.e., the increase in all infections within [t - dt, t]ignoring recoveries (this increase is symbolized with an apostrophe ')

## A Model of *Rt* Estimation

Equation (5.1) can also be written

(5.2) 
$$dI_A'(t) = \frac{\beta_t dtS(t)}{N} I_A(t) = \frac{\beta_t dtS(t)}{N} \int_{0+}^t w(u) dI_A'(t-u)$$

• Where w(t) is called the *infectiousness* distribution density function (see later)\*, which takes into account the recovery from infection as well, and is specifically defined such that the above equation is true

Technical note:  $\int_{0+}^{t} w(u) dI_A'(t-u) = \int_{0}^{t-} w(t-u) dI_A'(u) = \int_{0}^{t-} w(t-u) \frac{dI_A'(u)}{du} du$ ; which is approximately  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} (W_j - W_{j-1}) \frac{\Delta I_{j'}}{\Delta t}$ , for n small intervals  $\Delta t$ , and where  $W_j = \int_{0}^{t-t_j} w(u) du$ 

\*The infectiousness distribution can be assumed similar to that given by He X, et al. Model of COVID-19 Rt & Mortality Estimation 2021 : السبية المناقة (04/10/64) Model of COVID-19 Rt & Mortality Estimation 2021 : السبية المناقة (04/10/64) Model of COVID-19 Rt & Mortality Estimation 2021 : السبية المناقة المناقة المناقة (04/10/64) Model of COVID-19 Rt & Mortality Estimation 2021 : السبية المناقة المن

Rewrite eq. (5.2) in discrete form for **daily infection incidence**, using the *discrete infectiousness function*<sup>\*</sup>  $w_i$  (omitting apostrophes): (6.1)  $\Delta I_{At} = \frac{\beta_t \Delta t S_t}{N} \sum_{i=1}^t w_i \Delta I_{At-i}$  where  $I_{At}$  begins at t = 0Since all infections are all  $I_{Et}$  initially, as stated in eq. (2), we can also write  $\Delta I_{Et} = \Delta I_{At}$ , ignoring recoveries; and so it must be that (6.2)  $\Delta I_{Et} = \frac{\beta_t \Delta t S_t}{N} \sum_{i=1}^t w_i \Delta I_{Et-i}$  as well

Note on notation:  $\Delta I_{At}$  refers to  $\Delta I_A$  at day t; so, for example at day 3,  $\Delta I_{At}$  would be written  $\Delta I_{A3}$ 

\*The infectiousness distribution can be discretized in a way described Model of COVID-19 Rt & Mortality Estimation 2021 : อ.นพ.ภาณุวัฒน์เลิศสิทธิชัย (04/10/64) M the previous slide, or as given by Cori A, et al. Am J Epidemiol, 2013

The increase in  $I_S$  according to equation (3) is

•  $dI_S'(t) \equiv \mu_t dt I_E(t) \equiv \mu_t dt \int_{0+}^t v(u) dI_E'(t-u)$ ; where the increase with an apostrophe means recoveries are ignored, and v(t) is the distribution function of days until the appearance of symptoms

The discrete analogue is (again omitting apostrophes)

(7)  $\Delta I_{St} = \mu_t \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^t v_i \Delta I_{Et-i}$ ;  $v_i$  is the discretized version of v(t)

If we assume that symptomatic infection occurs at a lag exactly 3 days after exposure, thereby assuming a sharp peak\* for  $v_i$  at i = 3, then  $v_i = 1$  at i = 3 and thus

(8) 
$$\Delta I_{St} = \mu_t \Delta t \Delta I_{Et-3} \equiv \vartheta_t \Delta I_{Et-3}$$

Note again that  $\Delta I_{St}$  is the **reported number of new infections per day** on day *t*, which is assumed to be all symptomatic

Interpreting  $\vartheta_t \equiv \mu_t \Delta t$  as the **fraction** of  $\Delta I_{Et-3}$  (e.g., the daily increase in all infections 3 days prior) **becoming symptomatic** within the interval  $[t - \Delta t, t]$ , then

• 
$$\Delta I_{St} = \vartheta_t \Delta I_{Et-3} = \vartheta_t \frac{\beta_{t-3} \Delta t S_{t-3}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{t-3} w_i \Delta I_{Et-3-i}$$
  
=  $\frac{\beta_{t-3} \Delta t S_{t-3}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{t-3} w_i \left(\frac{\vartheta_t}{\vartheta_{t-i}}\right) \Delta I_{St-i}$ 

- Note that  $\beta_t \Delta t$  is interpreted as the number of transmissions of infection within the interval  $[t \Delta t, t]$  (e.g. within a day); and
- A detailed knowledge of  $v_i$  (the distribution of days till symptoms) is not needed if an exact 3-day lag is assumed

#### Estimating Rt: Use of Observed Incidence

With equation (9), below

(9) 
$$\Delta I_{St} = \frac{\beta_{t-3} \Delta t S_{t-3}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{t-3} w_i \left(\frac{\vartheta_t}{\vartheta_{t-i}}\right) \Delta I_{St-i}$$
, and **defining**

 $R_t = \frac{\beta_t \Delta t S_t}{N}$ , then, assuming  $\vartheta_t$  to be approximately constant,

as well as assuming  $w_i$  to approach zero for large times, we finally find (10)  $\Delta I_{St} = R_{t-3} \sum_{i=1}^{t} w_i \Delta I_{St-i}$ 

Hence, a consistently observed daily incidence  $\Delta I_{St}$  can be used to estimate  $R_t$ , though at a lag, in a long series of observations – so it is not necessary to observe all infections (i.e.,  $\Delta I_{Et}$  is not needed)

## Estimating Rt: Statistical Version

In terms of statistical theory, we can interpret

•  $\frac{\beta_t dt I_A(t)}{N}$  as the probability of infection within [t - dt, t], and •  $\frac{\beta_t dt I_A(t)}{N} S(t)$  as the expected (average) number of new infections

within the same interval,

- $E[dI_A'(t)] = \frac{\beta_t dtI_A(t)}{N}S(t)$ ; in a similar manner, eq.(10) in statistical terms is
- $E[\Delta I_{St}] = R_{t-3} \sum_{i=1}^{t} w_i \Delta I_{St-i}$  and suitable statistical models should be found for  $\Delta I_{St}$  and  $R_t$

# Estimating Rt: Statistical Model

The **Bayesian statistical approach** can be used to estimate  $R_t$  as, e.g., in the statistical model proposed by Cori, *et al*\*:

- Prior distribution:  $R_{t,prior} \sim Gamma(a,b)$ ; with shape & scale parameters a, b
- Likelihood:  $\Delta I_{St} | R_t, \Delta I_{St-1}, ..., \Delta I_{S0} \sim Poisson(R_t \Lambda_{wt});$ where  $\Lambda_{wt} = \sum_{i=1}^t w_i \Delta I_{St-i}$ , thus
- Posterior distribution:  $R_{t,posterior} \sim Gamma\left(a + \Delta I_{st}, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{b} + \Lambda_{wt}}\right)$

Without taking into account the uncertainties associated with daily infections or infectiousness functions or lag times, the 95% credible intervals will be too narrow; these estimates are used in all *Rt* graphs



<sup>\*</sup>He X, et al. Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nat Med, 2020

## Some Theoretical Comments

- In fact,  $\mu_t$  can **represent any observed fraction of all infections** (not necessarily symptomatic infections) the conclusion will still be the same, as long as the assumption of *identical infectiousness* distribution (identical w) for all infected persons holds
- The effective reproduction number defined by  $R_t = \frac{\beta_t \Delta t S_t}{N}$  depends on the *transmission parameter*  $\beta_t$ , which could be time dependent; as well as the *fraction of susceptibles*  $\frac{S_t}{N}$ , which decreases with time, and may appreciably change within the period of interest
- In particular, vaccination will significantly decrease the value of  $\frac{S_t}{N}$

#### Notes on Statistical Estimation

- The actual estimated Rt's as shown in these slides were obtained with a user-written Stata<sup>®</sup> program (available on request), where the serial interval distribution replaced the infectiousness distribution, at a lag of 4 days (we have yet to calculate Rt based on the infectiousness distribution itself)
- This replacement was partly because the serial interval distribution deals with symptomatic infections directly, without having to assume a theory linking presymptomatic to later symptomatic infection
- But the model in the program assumes all infections to become symptomatic, which is not true of COVID-19



He X, et al. Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nat Med, 2020

# **Model for the Estimation of Mortality Rates**

Assume that the recovery compartment R consists of those not dying (ND) and those who died (D)

That is, the equation for R is

(11) 
$$\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = \gamma_{Et}I_E + \gamma_{St}I_S = \gamma_{NDt}I_E + (\gamma_{Dt} + \gamma_{NDt})I_S$$

We can define the rate of increase in no. of deaths as

(12) 
$$\frac{dD(t)}{dt} = \gamma_{Dt} I_S$$
 for the compartment D

Exposed &Infected  $\mu_t$ Symptomatic Infection  $\gamma_{Dt}$  Pead $\gamma_{Dt}$ 

Where the required parameter to estimate is  $\gamma_{Dt}$ , the mortality rate of symptomatic infections

The discrete version of eq. (12),  $dD(t) = \gamma_{Dt} dt \int_{0+}^{t} g(u) dI_{S}'(t-u)$ ), is

•  $\Delta D_t = \gamma_{Dt} \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^t g_i \Delta I_{St-i}$ , defined in a similar way as before Writing the proportion of deaths in a day as  $\delta_t = \gamma_{Dt} \Delta t$  we have (13)  $\Delta D_t = \delta_t \sum_{i=1}^t g_i \Delta I_{St-i}$ ;

where the **unknown distribution function**  $g_i$  can be a discretized version of an appropriate *gamma distribution* of the day of death of those with symptomatic infections, starting from 1<sup>st</sup> day of symptoms

 Assuming the average day of death to be 21 days\*, with a relatively narrow distribution, one possibility could be (see next slide):



Slide 20/21

# Estimating $\delta_t$ : Statistical Model

The **Bayesian statistical approach** can once again be used (as per Cori, *et al\**); via a statistical version of eq.(13) :  $E[\Delta D_t] = \delta_t \sum_{i=1}^t g_i \Delta I_{St-i}$ 

- Prior distribution:  $\delta_{t,prior} \sim Gamma(a,b)$ ; with shape & scale parameters a, b
- Likelihood:  $\Delta D_t | R_t, \Delta I_{St-1}, ..., \Delta I_{S0} \sim Poisson(\delta_t \Lambda_{gt})$ ; where  $\Lambda_{gt} = \sum_{i=1}^t g_i \Delta I_{St-i}$ , thus
- Posterior distribution:  $\delta_{t,posterior} \sim Gamma\left(a + \Delta D_t, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{h} + \Lambda_{gt}}\right)$

Ignoring uncertainties associated with the number of daily deaths and day of death distribution functions will make the 95% credible intervals too narrow; all mortality graphs are those of  $\delta_t$