## **Detailed** Contents

List of Tables	xvii
List of Figures	xxi
Preface	xxiii
Acknowledgments	xxix
About the Authors	xxxi
PART I: INTRODUCTION TO META-ANALYSIS	1
1. Integrating Research Findings Across Studies	3
General Problem and an Example	3
A Typical Interpretation of the Example Data	4
Conclusions of the Review	6
Critique of the Sample Review	6
Problems With Statistical Significance Tests	7
Is Statistical Power the Solution?	10
Confidence Intervals	12
Meta-Analysis	15
Role of Meta-Analysis in the Behavioral and	
Social Sciences	17
The Myth of the Perfect Study	18
Some Relevant History	19
Role of Meta-Analysis in Theory Development	23
Meta-Analysis in Industrial-Organizational Psychology	26
Wider Impact of Meta-Analysis on Psychology	28
Impact of Meta-Analysis Outside Psychology	31
Impact in Biomedical Research	31
Impact in Other Disciplines	32
Meta-Analysis and Social Policy	33
Meta-Analysis and Theories of Data and Theories	2.4
of Knowledge	34
Conclusion	36
2. Study Artifacts and Their Impact on Study Outcomes	39
Study Artifacts	40
Sampling Error	40
Error of Measurement	42

Dichotomization	43
Range Variation in the Independent Variable	44
Range Variation in the Dependent Variable	47
Imperfect Construct Validity in the	
Independent Variable	50
Imperfect Construct Validity in the	
Dependent Variable	61
Computational and Other Errors in the Data	63
Extraneous Factors Introduced by the	
Study Procedure	64
Bias in the Sample Correlation	66
Sampling Error, Statistical Power, and the	
Interpretation of Research Findings	67
An Illustration of Statistical Power	67
A More Detailed Examination of Statistical Power	70
When and How to Cumulate	78
Undercorrection for Artifacts in the Corrected	
Standard Deviation $(SD_{\rho})$	78
Coding Studies Characteristics and Capitalization on	
Sampling Error in Moderator Analysis	80
A Look Ahead in the Book	84
PART II: META-ANALYSIS OF CORRELATIONS	85
	05
3. Meta-Analysis of Correlations Corrected	
Individually for Artifacts	87
Introduction and Overview	87
Bare-Bones Meta-Analysis: Correcting for Sampling	0.4
Error Only Estimation of Sampling Error	94 95
Estimation of Sampling Error Correcting the Variance for Sampling Error and	90
a Worked Example	96
Moderator Variables Analyzed by Grouping the	90
Data and a Worked Example	104
Correcting Feature Correlations for Sampling	104
Error and a Worked Example	107
Artifacts Other Than Sampling Error	111
Error of Measurement and Correction for Attenuation	111
Using the Appropriate Reliability Coefficient	115
Methods of Estimating Reliability Coefficients	117
Implications of Measurement Error for Meta-Analysis	121
Restriction or Enhancement of Range	123
Dichotomization of Independent and Dependent	
Variable Measures	133
Imperfect Construct Validity in Independent	
and Dependent Variable Measures	137
Attrition Artifacts	139
Extraneous Factors	139
Bias in the Correlation	140

	Multiple Simultaneous Artifacts	141
	Meta-Analysis of Individually Corrected Correlations	142
	Individual Study Computations	143
	Combining Across Studies	145
	Final Meta-Analysis Estimation	149
	An Example: Validity Generalization With	
	Indirect Range Restriction	150
	A Worked Example: Indirect Range Restriction	151
	Summary of Meta-Analysis Correcting Each Correlation	
	Individually	158
	Exercise 3.1: Bare Bones Meta-Analysis: Correcting for	
	Sampling Error Only	161
	Exercise 3.2: Meta-Analysis Correcting Each Correlation	
	Individually	163
4.	Meta-Analysis of Correlations Using Artifact Distributions	165
	Introduction and Basic Concepts	165
	Full Artifact Distribution Meta-Analysis	167
	Earlier Procedures for Artifact Distribution	
	Meta-Analysis	169
	The Interactive Method	170
	A Simplified Example of Application of the	
	Interactive Method	173
	A Worked Example: Error of Measurement	175
	A Worked Example: Unreliability and Direct	
	Range Restriction	179
	A Worked Example: Personnel Selection With	
	Fixed Test (Direct Range Restriction)	181
	Personnel Selection With Varying Tests	186
	Personnel Selection: Meta-Analytic Findings in	107
	the Literature	187
	A Worked Example: Indirect Range Restriction	189
	Refinements to Increase Accuracy of the SD <sub>p</sub> Estimate	193
	Accuracy of Corrections for Artifacts Mixed Meta-Analysis: Partial Artifact Information in	195
	Individual Studies	199
	An Example: Dichotomization of Both	199
	Variables and a Moderator	200
	The Moderator Evaluated	200
	Summary of Artifact Distribution Meta-Analysis	200
	of Correlations	207
	Exercise 4.1: Artifact Distribution Meta-Analysis	208
_		
5.	Technical Questions in Meta-Analysis of Correlations	213
	r Versus r <sup>2</sup> : Which Should be Used?	213
	r Versus Regression Slopes and Intercepts in Meta-Analysis	216 216
	Range Restriction Measurement Error	216
	Comparability of Units Across Studies	217
	Comparability of Onits Across studies	210

Comparability of Findings Across Meta-Analyses	219
Intrinsic Interpretability	219
Use of Fisher's z in Meta-Analysis of Correlations	220
Fixed Effects and Random Effects Models in Meta-Analysis	222
Accuracy of Different Random Effects Models	224
Credibility Intervals, Confidence Intervals, and Prediction	
Intervals in Meta-Analysis	228
Computing Confidence Intervals in Meta-Analysis	
of Correlations	229
Technical Issues in Using Meta-Analysis Results in	
Causal Modeling and Regression	231
Path Analysis or SEM?	232
What Sample Size to Use and What Software?	232
Is There a Mixture of Populations in the	
Correlation Matrix?	233
What About Heterogeneity Within Meta-Analyses?	234
Technical Factors That Cause Overestimation of SD <sub>p</sub>	234
Presence of Non-Pearson rs	235
Presence of Outliers and Other Data Errors	
and Problems in Removing Outliers	235
Use of r Instead of $\overline{r}$ in the Sampling Error Formula	237
Undercorrection for Sampling Error Variance in	
the Presence of Range Restriction	238
Nonlinearity in the Range Correction	239
Setting Negative Variance Estimates to Zero	241
Other Factors Causing Overestimation of SD <sub>p</sub>	241
PART III: META-ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTAL	
EFFECTS AND OTHER DICHOTOMOUS COMPARISONS	243
6. Treatment Effects: Experimental Artifacts and Their Impact	245
Quantification of the Treatment Effect:	245
	246
The $d$ Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation	246
The $d$ Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in $d$ Values: Illustrations	250
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$	250 250
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$	250 250 253
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$	250 250 253 254
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$ Error of Measurement in the Dependent Variable Measure	250 250 253 254 255
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$ Error of Measurement in the Dependent Variable Measure Error of Measurement in the Treatment Variable	250 250 253 254 255 261
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$ Error of Measurement in the Dependent Variable Measure Error of Measurement in the Treatment Variable Variation Across Studies in Treatment Strength	250 250 253 254 255 261 265
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$ Error of Measurement in the Dependent Variable Measure Error of Measurement in the Treatment Variable Variation Across Studies in Treatment Strength Range Variation on the Dependent Variable	250 253 254 255 261 265 266
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$ Error of Measurement in the Dependent Variable Measure Error of Measurement in the Treatment Variable Variation Across Studies in Treatment Strength Range Variation on the Dependent Variable Dichotomization of the Dependent Variable Measure	250 250 253 254 255 261 265
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$ Error of Measurement in the Dependent Variable Measure Error of Measurement in the Treatment Variable Variation Across Studies in Treatment Strength Range Variation on the Dependent Variable Dichotomization of the Dependent Variable Measure Imperfect Construct Validity in the Dependent	250 253 254 255 261 265 266 268
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$ Error of Measurement in the Dependent Variable Measure Error of Measurement in the Treatment Variable Variation Across Studies in Treatment Strength Range Variation on the Dependent Variable Dichotomization of the Dependent Variable Measure Imperfect Construct Validity in the Dependent Variable Measure	250 253 254 255 261 265 266 268 269
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$ Error of Measurement in the Dependent Variable Measure Error of Measurement in the Treatment Variable Variation Across Studies in Treatment Strength Range Variation on the Dependent Variable Dichotomization of the Dependent Variable Imperfect Construct Validity in the Dependent Variable Measure Imperfect Construct Validity in the Treatment Variable	250 253 254 255 261 265 266 268 269 272
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$ Error of Measurement in the Dependent Variable Measure Error of Measurement in the Treatment Variable Variation Across Studies in Treatment Strength Range Variation on the Dependent Variable Dichotomization of the Dependent Variable Imperfect Construct Validity in the Dependent Variable Measure Imperfect Construct Validity in the Treatment Variable Bias in the Effect Size ( <i>d</i> Statistic)	250 253 254 255 261 265 266 268 269 272 272
The <i>d</i> Statistic and the Point Biserial Correlation Sampling Error in <i>d</i> Values: Illustrations Case 1: $N = 30$ Case 2: $N = 68$ Case 3: $N = 400$ Error of Measurement in the Dependent Variable Measure Error of Measurement in the Treatment Variable Variation Across Studies in Treatment Strength Range Variation on the Dependent Variable Dichotomization of the Dependent Variable Imperfect Construct Validity in the Dependent Variable Measure Imperfect Construct Validity in the Treatment Variable	250 253 254 255 261 265 266 268 269 272

7.	Meta-Analysis Methods for <i>d</i> Values	279
	Effect Size Indexes: d and r	281
	Maximum Value of the Point Biserial r	282
	The Effect Size (d Statistic)	284
	Correction of the Point Biserial r for Unequal	
	Sample Sizes	287
	Examples of the Convertability of <i>r</i> and <i>d</i>	288
	Problems of Artificial Dichotomization	290
	An Alternative to d: Glass's d	290
	Sampling Error in the <i>d</i> Statistic	292
	The Standard Error for d	292
	The Confidence Interval for δ	295
	Cumulation and Correction of the Variance for	
	Sampling Error	295
	Bare-Bones Meta-Analysis	296
	Confidence Intervals for $\overline{d}$	298
	A Worked Example	298
	Another Example: Leadership Training by Experts	301
	Analysis of Moderator Variables	303
	Using Study Domain Subsets	304
	Using Study Characteristic Correlations	304
	A Worked Example: Training by Experts Versus	
	Training by Managers	306
	Another Worked Example: Amount of Training	310
	The Correlational Moderator Analysis	313
	Correcting d Value Statistics for Measurement Error in	
	the Dependent Variable	314
	Meta-Analysis of d Values Corrected Individually	
	and a Worked Example	318
	Artifact Distribution Meta-Analysis and a	
	Worked Example	321
	Measurement Error in the Independent Variable	
	in Experiments	328
	Other Artifacts and Their Effects	331
	Correcting Individual d Values for Multiple Artifacts	332
	Attenuation Effect of Multiple Artifacts and Correction	
	for the Same	332
	Meta-Analysis of <i>d</i> Values With Multiple Artifacts	
	Using the Correlation Metric	335
	Summary of Meta-Analysis of <i>d</i> Values	337
	Exercise 7.1: Meta-Analysis of <i>d</i> Values	340
8.	Technical Questions in Meta-Analysis of d Values	343
	Alternative Experimental Designs: General Considerations	343
	Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) Designs	346
	Factorial Independent Groups ANOVA Designs	348
	Repeated Measures Designs	349
	Repeated Measures Designs Without Control	
	Group and Matched Groups Designs	350

	Repeated Measures Designs With a Control Group Empirical Comparison of the Two Repeated	352
	Measures Designs	353
	Threats to Validity in Repeated Measures Designs	
	With No Control Group	355
	History	357
	Maturation	357
	Testing Effects	358
	Instrumentation	358
	Regression Toward the Mean	359
	Reactive Situations	360
	Interaction Between Selection and the	
	Treatment	361
	Bias in Observed <i>d</i> Values	362
	Credibility Intervals, Confidence Intervals, and	
	Prediction Intervals in Meta-Analysis of d Values	362
	Computing Confidence Intervals in Meta-Analysis	
	of <i>d</i> Values	364
	Fixed Effects and Random Effects Models in	50.
	Meta-Analysis of <i>d</i> Values	365
		500
PAR	T IV: GENERAL ISSUES IN META-ANALYSIS	369
9.	General Technical Issues in Meta-Analysis	371
	Large-N Studies Versus Meta-Analysis	372
	Detecting Moderator Variables in Meta-Analysis	374
	Detecting Moderator Variables Not	
	Hypothesized a Priori	374
	Hierarchical Analysis of Moderator	
	Variables via Study Subgrouping	381
	Use of Multiple Regression in Moderator Analysis	
	and Mixed Meta-Analysis Models	384
	Meta-Regression: Advantages	
	and Disadvantages	384
	Multilevel Models in Meta-Analysis and HLM	389
	Mixed Effects Models in Meta-Analysis	390
	Second-Order Sampling Error: General Principles	391
	Second-Order Meta-Analysis Across Different	
	Independent Variables	394
	Second-Order Meta-Analysis With a Constant	
	Independent Variable	396
	Second-Order Meta-Analysis of Bare-Bones	
	Meta-Analyses	397
	Second-Order Meta-Analysis When Correlations	
	Have Been Individually Corrected	399
	Second-Order Meta-Analysis With Artifact	
	Distribution Meta-Analyses	402
	Mixed Second-Order Meta-Analysis	404
	Considerations in Second-Order Meta-Analysis	405

	Second-Order Sampling Error: Technical Treatment	407
	The Homogeneous Case	412
	The Heterogeneous Case	414
	A Numerical Example	416
	Another Example: Leadership Training by Experts	418
	Moderator Example: Skills Training	419
	Confidence Intervals in Random Effects Models:	
	Hunter-Schmidt and Hedges-Olkin	420
	Updating a Meta-Analysis When a New Study	
	Becomes Available	423
	What Are Optimal Study Weights in Random	
	Effect Meta-Analyses?	423
	The Meaning of Percent Variance Accounted for	
	in Meta-Analysis	425
	The Odds Ratio (OR) in Behavioral Meta-Analyses	427
	Exercise 9.1: Second-Order Meta-Analysis Across Different	
	Independent Variables With the Same Dependent Variable	429
	Exercise 9.2: Second-Order Meta-Analysis With	
	Constant Independent and Dependent Variables	430
	Exercise 9.3: Second-Order Meta-Analysis With	
	Constant Independent and Dependent Variables	432
10	Cumulation of Findings Within Studies	435
10.	Fully Replicated Designs: Statistical Independence	435
	Conceptual Replication and Lack of Statistical	155
	Independence	436
	Research on Effects of Violations of Statistical Independence	439
	Conceptual Replication and Composite Scores	441
	Conceptual Replication: A Fourth Approach and	
	Summary and Conclusions	447
	Replication by Analysis of Subgroups	449
	Subgroups and Loss of Power	449
	Subgroups and Capitalization on Chance	450
	Subgroups and the Bias of Disaggregation	450
	Conclusion: Use Total Group Correlations	451
	Summary	452
11,	Different Methods of Meta-Analysis and Related Software	453
	The Traditional Narrative Review	453
	The Traditional Voting Method	454
	Cumulation of <i>p</i> Values Across Studies	455
	Statistically Correct Vote-Counting Procedures	457
	Vote-Counting Methods Yielding Only Significance Levels	457
	Vote-Counting Methods Yielding Estimates	450
	of Effect Sizes	459
	Meta-Analysis of Research Studies	462
	Purely Descriptive Meta-Analysis Methods:	
	Glassian and Related Methods	464
	Meta-Analysis Methods Focusing Only on	44.0
	Sampling Error	469

	Unresolved Problems in Meta-Analysis	476
	Summary of Methods of Integrating Studies	477
	Computer Programs for Meta-Analysis	478
	Programs for Glassian Meta-Analysis	478
	Programs for Homogeneity-Based Meta-Analysis	479
	Programs for Psychometric Meta-Analysis	480
12.	Locating, Evaluating, Selecting, and Coding	
	Studies and Presentation of Meta-Analysis Results	483
	Conducting a Thorough Literature Search	483
	What to Do About Studies With Methodological Weaknesses	484
	Coding Studies in Meta-Analysis Reporting the Results of a Meta-Analysis: Standards	488
	and Practices	489
	Information Needed in Reports of Primary Studies	493
	Correlational Studies	493
	Experimental Studies	494
	Studies Using Multiple Regression	495
	Studies Using Factor Analysis	496
	Studies Using Canonical Correlation	497
	Studies Using Multivariate Analysis of	
	Variance (MANOVA)	498
	General Comments on Reporting in Primary Studies	498
	Appendix	500
13.	Availability Bias, Source Bias, and Publication	
	Bias in Meta-Analysis	513
	<b>Bias in Meta-Analysis</b> Some Literatures May Have Little or No Publication Bias Effects of Methodological Quality on Mean Effect	<b>513</b> 515
	Bias in Meta-Analysis Some Literatures May Have Little or No Publication Bias Effects of Methodological Quality on Mean Effect Sizes From Different Sources	
	Bias in Meta-Analysis Some Literatures May Have Little or No Publication Bias Effects of Methodological Quality on Mean Effect Sizes From Different Sources Multiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in	515 518
	Bias in Meta-Analysis Some Literatures May Have Little or No Publication Bias Effects of Methodological Quality on Mean Effect Sizes From Different Sources Multiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability Bias	515 518 519
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today?	515 518 519 521
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud	515 518 519 521 521
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud	515 518 519 521 521 523
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)	515 518 519 521 521 523 526
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias	515 518 519 521 523 523 526 531
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias File Drawer Analysis Based on p Values	515 518 519 521 523 523 526 531 531
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias File Drawer Analysis Based on p Values File Drawer Analysis Based on Effect Size	515 518 521 521 523 526 531 531 533
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias File Drawer Analysis Based on p Values File Drawer Analysis Based on Effect Size Subgrouping of Published and Unpublished Studies	515 518 521 521 523 526 531 531 533 534
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias File Drawer Analysis Based on p Values File Drawer Analysis Based on Effect Size Subgrouping of Published and Unpublished Studies The Funnel Plot	515 518 519 521 523 526 531 533 533 534 535
	<ul> <li>Bias in Meta-Analysis</li> <li>Some Literatures May Have Little or No Publication Bias</li> <li>Effects of Methodological Quality on Mean Effect</li> <li>Sizes From Different Sources</li> <li>Multiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in</li> <li>Availability Bias</li> <li>Is There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today?</li> <li>Scientific Fraud</li> <li>Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud</li> <li>Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)</li> <li>Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias</li> <li>File Drawer Analysis Based on <i>p</i> Values</li> <li>File Drawer Analysis Based on Effect Size</li> <li>Subgrouping of Published and Unpublished Studies</li> <li>The Funnel Plot</li> <li>The Trim-and-Fill Method</li> </ul>	515 518 519 521 523 526 531 531 533 534 535 538
	<ul> <li>Bias in Meta-Analysis</li> <li>Some Literatures May Have Little or No Publication Bias</li> <li>Effects of Methodological Quality on Mean Effect</li> <li>Sizes From Different Sources</li> <li>Multiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability Bias</li> <li>Is There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud</li> <li>Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud</li> <li>Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)</li> <li>Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias</li> <li>File Drawer Analysis Based on <i>p</i> Values</li> <li>File Drawer Analysis Based on Effect Size</li> <li>Subgrouping of Published and Unpublished Studies</li> <li>The Funnel Plot</li> <li>The Trim-and-Fill Method</li> <li>Cumulative Meta-Analysis</li> </ul>	515 518 519 521 523 526 531 533 534 535 538 540
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias File Drawer Analysis Based on p Values File Drawer Analysis Based on Effect Size Subgrouping of Published and Unpublished Studies The Funnel Plot The Trim-and-Fill Method Cumulative Meta-Analysis Correlation- and Regression-Based Methods The Statistical Power Method and the	515 518 519 521 523 526 531 533 534 535 538 540 541
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias File Drawer Analysis Based on p Values File Drawer Analysis Based on Effect Size Subgrouping of Published and Unpublished Studies The Funnel Plot The Trim-and-Fill Method Cumulative Meta-Analysis Correlation- and Regression-Based Methods The Statistical Power Method and the p-Hacking Method	515 518 519 521 523 526 531 533 534 533 534 535 538 540 541
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias File Drawer Analysis Based on p Values File Drawer Analysis Based on Effect Size Subgrouping of Published and Unpublished Studies The Funnel Plot The Trim-and-Fill Method Cumulative Meta-Analysis Correlation- and Regression-Based Methods The Statistical Power Method and the p-Hacking Method Selection Models	515 518 519 521 523 526 531 533 534 533 534 535 538 540 541 542 543
	Bias in Meta-AnalysisSome Literatures May Have Little or No Publication BiasEffects of Methodological Quality on Mean EffectSizes From Different SourcesMultiple Hypotheses and Other Considerations in Availability BiasIs There a Crisis of Confidence in Scientific Research Today? Scientific Fraud Data Manipulation Short of Scientific Fraud Evidence for Publication Bias (Traditionally Defined)Methods for Dealing With Availability Bias File Drawer Analysis Based on p Values File Drawer Analysis Based on Effect Size Subgrouping of Published and Unpublished Studies The Funnel Plot The Trim-and-Fill Method Cumulative Meta-Analysis Correlation- and Regression-Based Methods The Statistical Power Method and the p-Hacking Method	515 518 519 521 523 526 531 533 534 533 534 535 538 540 541

Summary of Methods for Correcting Availability Bias 5	51
14. Summary of Psychometric Meta-Analysis5Meta-Analysis Methods and Theories of Data5	53
and Theories of Knowledge 5	53
What Is the Ultimate Purpose of Meta-Analysis? 5	55
Psychometric Meta-Analysis: Summary Overview 5	56
Appendix: Windows-Based Meta-Analysis Software Package Version 2.0 5	61
References 5	77
Author Index 6	11
Subject Index 6	19